P16

G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22 7 14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-99

(munpl)

File No. 160

Serial Nos. 1–4

Papers Filed.

Month MAY-00.

SUBJECT.

~~Information in reg~~

Instructions in regard to the remuneration payable to village Chowkidars muncpl Revenue.

Indexed
SR
for Secy.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES LATER REFERENCES

Subs to Secretary with Office memo DA 17.6.99

5763/1899

Section Transvaal

File No 160 of 98

Serial No 1

11293 1899

From [illegible]

To [illegible]

Dated 6 June 99

Despatched 7 June 99

Received

General No 7

No

Enclosure No

Con Pro: No 12704-13
Lth 295-96
Blatchley 6 June 99

Solicits the early instructions of Colonial Office on points on the subject of reincorporation of village Councils for Pretoria & the municipal Cities on Transvaal Towns

Do the Same

1295-7-65-9 25/6

L of 295-96

8.6.99

Submitted & order
of 12/6/99

(No. 748

The Officer in Treasurer
of the Municipality
Tumkur

Dated 11th June 1899

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

Referring to Government
Proceedings No. 1271-12
F 295-96 dated
the 8th May 1899 (received in this
office on the 31st idem) forwarding
orders on the subject of remunerating
to village Servants for collecting
Municipal taxes in Municipal Towns
I have the honor to solicit the
favor of instructions on the
following points —

1 (1) Are the Servants in the ...

S 2035

1. disposed both in the collection of Municipal and Octroi taxes in Municipalities? — The Government order under reference seems to contemplate the employment of the Shanbogue alone for the work, and no remuneration is mentioned for the Patel — — —

(2) Is the gross income of the Municipality or only the collection under the heads (1) House tax, (2) shop tax, (3) oil mill tax, and (4) cart tax which alone are collected by the Patel and Shanbogue to be taken into account for calculating the remuneration payable to the Shanbogue or the Patel if a separate remuneration is sanctioned in this case. The octroi duties wherever they exist are levied by the municipal establishment which attends to the collection of the other items of municipal revenue such as Sale of manure, of building sites, & c. & c — —

(3) Whether the remuneration is

to be realised out of
collections or the Umrao[illegible] up
specified year or in the course
of a number of years. — —

2. My own opinion is [illegible]
the Total should be based on the
Shamboomi of the net [illegible]
as hitherto. 3. Not here mentioned
should be calculated on the net
demand of Mahatarfa revenue alone
for three years viz 1896-97. 1897-98
and 1898-99. ———

3. If pursuant the proposed [illegible]
for the recovery of arrears of
Mahatarfa revenue in the Umrao
Dimlow are passed and become
present, are held by the Amils
of the Umrao Dalak. It is the
recommendation of the [illegible]
the Petel and the [illegible]
Patel is to pay [illegible]
therefore will the [illegible]
to Municipal funds. [illegible]
of the Mundkar [illegible]

I believe a Revenue Officer would be [illegible]

to some processes and to the Collectors in Introduction of current subject to confirmation by the President.—

4. It is presumed that the remuneration to be thereafter fixed will come into effect from the year in which the revised Potgi takes effect in the Taluk to which the Municipality belongs. In municipalities attached to Taluks to which the Potgi revision will not be extended by reason of the last Pakka Potgi settlement having been made within the last ten years, the remuneration of the Shanbogue and the Patel having been merged in the Potgi now introduced will it is presumed, continue to be paid from Provincial Revenues as heretofore.— It may be noted here that the Pakka Potgi in the marginally noted Taluks* of this District has been revised up to date in the current year.—

* 1 Sira
2 Chiknayakanahalli
3 Tumkur
4 Gubbi
5 Tiptur
6 Kunigal

5 On receipt of orders on the above mentioned points, a detailed statement showing the remuneration made

L7
14.11.99

L707

4422
14.11.99

Gen. 30 J

1899-1900

5-166
Vol VII

From The President of the Municipalities in the Kadur District.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore General & Revl Department

Dated 12th November 1899

Despatched

Received

General No. 3014
No.

Section Mund
File No 100 of 98
Serial No 2

Enclosure No. one

Forwarding Statement of Collections made in the several Municipalities during 1898-99 and requesting to obtain Sanction of Government to the Payment of Rs 723. 3. 11 as remuneration, and pointing out the Source from which the Charges

Co

I to the same
129565-830/5/05
L. 9 295-96

Office of the President
of the Municipalities in the
Kadur District
Dated Chikmagalur 12th November
1899

From

The President of the
Municipalities in the
Kadur District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to Government
Order No: 12704-13 / L.F. 295-96 of the 8th May 99
fixing remuneration to village
Servants for collecting Municipal
taxes, I have the honour to for-
-ward herewith a statement
of collections made in the
Municipalities of this
District

District during the year 1898-99 and the amount of remuneration payable thereon and to request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to the payment of Rs 729-3-11.

For this purpose there is a provision of Rs 335/- in the current years' municipal Budgets of the several municipalities and the balance of Rs 399/- may be appropriated from the other available heads as particularized in the said statement.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

K. Venkataramaiah

President

Municipal Board

HD.

4794
23 XI 99

1782

1899

FROM
The President of the Municipality
Chitaldroog District

To
The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore, Genl & Rev: Dept.

Dated 21st November 1899

Despatched

Received

General No. 349
No. 336

Enclosure No. One



Submitting proposals regarding the remuneration payable to Shanbhogues & Patels for collection of Municipal taxes in the Chitaldroog Dist:

Lottedame
12957-65 880 50
17 295 96
23 11 99

Office of the President
Municipal Boards,
Chitaldrug District,
Dated Chitaldrug,
21st November 1899

No. 349 Income
336

To

The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore, Genl. and
Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to
Government Proceedings
No. 12704-3 dated 8th May
L.F. 295-96
1899 issuing instructions for
fixing the remuneration
payable to village Servants
for the collection of
Municipal taxes I have
the honor to solicit Sanction
to the disbursement from
Municipal

Municipal Funds, of a sum
of ——— £82,094 being
the commission payable to
the village Servants for the
collection of the Mohatta
revenue in the Municipalities
of this District for the three
years commencing from
1897-98.

2. Upon a reference
made to the Superintendent
Revenue Survey, in the matter
it is ascertained that, at
the revision of the Pakka
Potgi of the village Servants
in September 1896, the
Mohatta Revenue in
Municipalities was left out
of account in the case of
all the towns except
Shellakeue, whence by mistake
the Mohatta revenue
was

was taken into account and that the Collection of Government would be solicited by the Superintendent Revenue Survey, for the rectification of the mistake. On receipt of a further communication from that officer, a separate reference will be sent up regarding the payment of the commission due for the collection of the Mohatwa revenue in Chelle kere.

3. I beg to add that in the proceedings under reference, no mention is made of the remuneration due to the Patels whose services are found indispensable in the matters of collection and who therefore appear justly entitled to some remuneration like the Shanbo- nes

Shanbaguas. I would therefore propose that the commission payable on the net annual revenue be apportioned among the Shanbaguas and the Patels in the ratio of 2 to 1 and Karikas in the case of Dumraon and 3 to 2 in the case of the remaining Municipalities and I beg that sanction may kindly be accorded thereto.

4. A Statement showing the average revenue from House and Net assessments and the amount of commission payable annually to the village Servants, as proposed above, in each Municipality of the District is herewith submitted. The funds required to meet the cost will be provided in the current year's revised budgets

budgets which will shortly
be submitted to Government.
for sanction.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
for President

Statement shewing the average revenue from House and Mohatfas and the remuneration payable thereon to the village servants for the years 1897-98 to 1899-900 both years inclusive

Number	Name of Village	Average revenue from House and Mohatfa taxes per annum	Remuneration payable																		
			Year	Rate per 100	Amount	Amount payable to Shanbhogue	Amount payable to Paddel	Year	Rate per cent	Amount	Amount payable to Shanbhogue	Amount payable to Paddel	Year	Rate per cent	Total amount payable	Amount to be shared					
				Rs																	
1	Chitaldurg	2250	1897-98	4	90	55	35	1898-99	4	90	55	35	1899-900	4	90	55	35				
2	Davangere	6350	Do	3	190	127	63	Do	3	190	127	63	Do	3	190	127	63				
3	Harihar	3100	Do	4	124	83	41	Do	5	124	83	41	Do	5	124	83	41				
4	Holalkere	1300	Do	5	65	39	26	Do	5	65	39	26	Do	5	65	39	26				
5	Hosdurg	900	Do	5	45	27	18	Do	5	45	27	18	Do	5	45	27	18				
6	Bagur	1800	Do	5	40	24	16	Do	5	40	24	16	Do	5	40	24	16				
7	Malebennur	600	Do	5	30	18	12	Do	5	30	18	12	Do	5	30	18	12				
8	Jagalur	1400	Do	5	70	42	28	Do	5	70	42	28	Do	5	70	42					
9	Molkalmuru	750	Do	5	37	22	15	Do	5	37	22	15	Do	5	37	22					
10	Hiriur	750	Do	5	37	22	15	Do	5	37	22	15	Do	5	37	22					

Sl. No.35.

Read again.-

Govt. Progs. No.12704-13 L.F.295-96 dated the 8th May 1899 issuing instructions in regard to the remuneration payable to village servants for collecting municipal revenue in places where a seperate collection agency does not exist.

Read also:-

The following communications soliciting orders on certain points in connection with the above:-

No.748 dated the 6th June 1899 from the President of the Municipalities, in the Tumkur Dt.

No.304 dated the 12th Novr. 1899 from the President of the Municipalities, in the Kadur Dt.

No.349/336 dated the 21st Novr. 1899 from the Preisdent of the Municipalities, in the Chitaldrug Dt.

Order thereon:- No.12957-65 dated 30-5-00 L.F.295-96

The order of the 8th May 1899 having omitted to specify the date from which the revised rates of remuneration prescribed therein should come into force, Govt. now direct that these rates be introduced with effect from the official year 1899-00. Payments at these rates can be made only (1) in cases in which it has been de-

22/3/00

22-3-00

22.3.900

4/4/00
710

22/3/00

24.900

29

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Local Boards Branch, Municipal, dated 30th May 1900.

READ AGAIN—

Government Proceedings No 12704-13—L F 295-96, dated the 8th May 1899, issuing instructions in regard to the remuneration payable to village servants for collecting municipal revenue in places where a separate collection agency does not exist

READ ALSO—

The following communications soliciting orders on certain points in connection with the above —

No. 748, dated the 6th June 1899, from the President of the Municipalities in the Tumkur District.

No 304, dated the 12th November 1899, from the President of the Municipalities in the Kadur District

No. 349—336, dated the 21st November 1899, from the President of the Municipalities in the Chitaldrug District

---

No. 12957-65—L. F. 295-96, DATED BANGALORE, 30TH MAY 1900.

ORDER THEREON—The Order of the 8th May 1899, having omitted to specify the date from which the revised rates of remuneration, prescribed therein, should come into force, Government now direct that these rates be introduced with effect from the official year 1898-99. Payment at these rates can be made only : (1) in cases in which it has been definitely ascertained that the potgi, originally fixed, had not taken into account the mohatarfa in municipal towns, and (2) in cases in which such potgi has been revised so as to exclude the municipal mohatarfa originally included.

2. The rates should, of course, be calculated on actual realizations under such items of Municipal revenue as are entrusted to the village agency for collection and not on the entire revenue of the municipalities, much less on the demand. The amount of remuneration payable will, no doubt, vary with the amount collected in each year ; but this is rather an advantage as tending to stimulate collection work.

3. By the term "Shanbhog," in para 4 of the Order in question, was meant merely the village servant or servants employed on collection work and it was not intended to exclude the patel. Municipal Boards are at liberty to apportion the aggregate amount of remuneration among the village servants actually employed in such proportions as they may deem proper.

4. Except in cases where the remuneration proposed to be paid exceeds the scale laid down, Presidents of Municipal Boards may pass bills for payment without a previous reference to Government.

5. The President of the Tumkur Municipality is informed that the Vice-President may be empowered to issue coercive processes for the recovery of mohatarfa dues in that Municipality.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Dept.

To—The Presidents of Municipal Boards in Mysore
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore

Exd.—H.A R

1899-1900

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH, MUNICIPAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 30th May 1900

No. 12957-65
L. F. 295-96

Issuing further instructions in regard to the remuneration payable to Village Servants for collecting Municipal Revenue.